

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/836,734

Applicant(s)

BECKMANN et al.

Examiner  
Stephanie Zitomer

Group Art Unit  
1655



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephanie Zitomer

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mary Wilson For Applicant Beckmann Et Al.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov. 27, 29 and Dec. 14, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: NA

Identification of prior art discussed:

NA

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant was advised that in spite of bona fide attempts to comply with 37 CFR 1.821 the specification fails to comply in full with 1.821(d) in that some sequences in the specification do not have SEQ ID NOS. It was explained that some of the sequences of Figure 8 claimed in claim 1 are genomic DNA and too long to be searched in full. The exmr. determined that the cDNA should be searched instead. However, the cDNA, according to the specification at page 6, is in Figure 2B but no SEQ ID NO: is provided for the sequence. Therefore, the cDNA cannot be searched. In a voicemail message dated Dec. 14, 2000 Ms. Wilson indicated that applicant had been contacted to determine the sequence identity of the cDNA. Thus, the attached Notice to Comply is simply a formality to remove the application from the Office abandonment list as conveyed to Ms. Wilson in a voicemail message from the exmr. on Dec. 14, 2000.

Fig 8 = seqs 1, 2 & 3 (French seqs in fig 8)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.